Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/511,970	GAESSLER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hoai-An D. Nguyen	2858	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Hoai-An D. Nguyen</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Jong Lee (Reg. # 36,197)</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 9 March 2006	Time: <u>11;00 AM</u>	· x	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 24 and 25			
Prior art documents discussed:		4.	
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
·			
Hogy An D. Nguyen (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

To discuss about the violation of 35 U.S.C. 101 of claim 24 that claims a computer program, an abstract idea which is not patentable subject matter.

To correct minor informalities in claim 25...